The composition, structure and dynamics of riparian vegetation are determined largely by past and present hydrologic regimes and geomorphologic conditions. To continue to thrive, riparian vegetation depends on alluvial sediments as a growth substrate, abundant moisture from surface flow, and relatively shallow alluvial water tables.

Hydrologists, geomorphologists, and ecologists have teamed to identify aspects of the physical environment that exert strong influence on riparian ecosystems. Evaluating current and natural dynamics of channel pattern, channel cross-section, and the size and distribution of bottomland landforms can help to identify which components of the system are in greatest need of restoration attention (See Briggs and Osterkamp, p. 18). Further, a growing database clarifies alluvial groundwater dynamics and the responses of stream and floodplain biota to various aspects of surface flow – such as timing, magnitude, frequency, duration, and rate of change.

Floods Influence Landforms and Biota
In riparian areas, flooding is a particularly important natural process, strongly influencing the physical environment of river bottomlands by eroding and depositing sediments, destroying and creating fluvial landforms, moistening sediments, flushing salts that have concentrated in sediments, and transporting plant propagules. These flood-driven processes largely determine the distribution, size, shape, and sediment characteristics of surfaces within a river bottomland upon which vegetation grows.

The life cycle of many riparian plants is intimately related to these site conditions and, hence, to flooding. In the Southwest, riparian restoration efforts often endeavor to promote the regeneration of native cottonwood and willow forests. The natural reproduction of these tree species is highly dependent on flood-driven processes. To germinate, the seeds require bare, moist substrates during a limited period of time in spring and summer. Floods naturally create these substrates. As flows recede following a flood, soils must remain moist enough for the drought-sensitive seedlings not to desiccate. Seedlings are also vulnerable to removal by subsequent floods. Given these rather specific requirements, successful seedling establishment of cottonwood and willow trees may only occur once every five to ten years, despite the fact that thousands of germinants can be found almost every year.

Surface Flows Affected by Dams
Today, most rivers in the Southwest have been dammed. While dams may be operated in a number of ways depending on the primary purposes, they nearly always affect surface flows downstream by changing the flooding regime. This usually involves a reduction in the magnitude and frequency of flood flows and a change in the timing and duration of flooding, all of which alter the physical conditions influencing riparian vegetation. These changes can adversely affect native species that are dependent on natural flooding regimes. Conversely, non-native species may be better suited to the new flow regimes (see Stromberg et al., p. 22).

Low flows are also important because they influence dry season alluvial water table depths, which can constrain the abundance and composition of riparian vegetation, particularly in arid regions. Downstream from dams, low flows are commonly altered in different ways as well, depending on dam operation priorities. In some cases, where flows are diverted from a reservoir, for example, low flows downstream may be reduced, leading to drier conditions than can be tolerated by many riparian plants. In other cases, where water is delivered for summer irrigation downstream, low flows may be increased, allowing for greater survival and growth of riparian plants than might have occurred with natural flows.

Given the importance of surface flow to riparian vegetation, an increasingly common restoration approach has been to manage streamflow downstream of dams. Changing dam operations may be feasible along rivers where patterns of downstream water delivery are flexible, when there is a possibility of purchasing land and water rights to ensure more flexibility, or when restoration downstream may be legally
required and dam reoperation is found to be less expensive and more sustainable than active restoration. In the Southwest, this approach has often involved modifying the parts of the regulated hydrograph that are hindering cottonwood recruitment or survival.

Along rivers in Alberta, Canada, low flows have been increased to maintain the vigor of existing cottonwood forests, and the rate of flow recession following flood peaks has been controlled to promote seedling establishment. Along the Truckee River in Nevada and the Bill Williams River in Arizona, managed floods have been used in combination with controlled flow recessions to promote cottonwood recruitment. In these cases, the restoration objectives were achieved over many river miles, without costly, intensive, on-the-ground actions. It is important to recognize, however, that naturalized flow regimes alone may not supply all of the conditions required for successful restoration, particularly if sediment and geomorphic dynamics are still altered.

**Dam Removal Considerations**

Another means of mitigating the downstream effects of dams is to remove the dam altogether. Dam removal is on the increase throughout North America, primarily because many dams have become unsafe over time or are no longer serving the purposes for which they were originally constructed. Environmental restoration is seldom the primary or sole reason for removing dams, but restoration benefits may accrue. If there are no other dams upstream, dam removal may restore natural flow and sediment regimes and the associated natural processes that favor native riparian vegetation. However, large volumes of sediment trapped in the
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Levees were cleared of saltcedar and designed to impound water to create soil moisture conditions similar to a natural flood event. This approach successfully re-established cottonwoods and willows on extensive impounded areas (Taylor and McDaniel, 1998).

Other methods of reintroducing trees and shrubs have proved successful on degraded riparian areas that presently do not experience flooding. Planting long-dormant stem cuttings, known as poles, from cottonwood, willow, and other riparian species into augered holes that extend to the water table has allowed the re-establishment of riparian trees on hundreds of acres in the Middle Rio Grande Valley (Dreesen and others, 2002). Containerized plants with very deep root systems have helped establish additional species not suited to pole planting in areas with a fairly shallow water table. These methods are stopgap because the plants will not regenerate unless flooding is eventually reintroduced into the riparian system.

The conflicts between the extraordinary natural resource value of riparian areas and the limited surface water resources in the Southwest will result in future struggles over the allocation of water for the restoration of disturbed riparian areas and for the preservation of pristine riparian areas.
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Flooding, continued from page 21

Riparian restoration efforts should benefit both the environment and the people who live and work nearby. As part of the Campo Mosqueda effort, a picnic area was established to provide tourists with a place to visit and enjoy themselves. In addition, the site’s aesthetic location and restoration history will provide a worthwhile stopping point for ecotourism groups that regularly pass through the Delta on their way to such coastal attractions as San Felipe and El Golfo. Downstream restoration efforts will focus on improving fisheries habitat – an important consideration for local fishermen.

Restoring natural flow regimes and fluvial processes can have numerous benefits. Restoration efforts that require many active measures and much future maintenance are generally less sustainable, more expensive, and confined to relatively small areas. In contrast, when natural processes are restored, restoration projects tend to be more sustainable, less expensive, and more extensive. Although in some cases, factors unrelated to a river’s hydrology or geomorphology may be a central source of degradation, the success of riparian restoration efforts will be enhanced when the important roles of natural processes are considered and incorporated.
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Small Scale Restoration, continued from page 25

To attain the long-term involvement of community residents, small-scale restoration efforts should benefit both the environment and the people who live and work nearby. As part of the Campo Mosqueda effort, a picnic area was established to provide tourists with a place to visit and enjoy themselves. In addition, the site’s aesthetic location and restoration history will provide a worthwhile stopping point for ecotourism groups that regularly pass through the Delta on their way to such coastal attractions as San Felipe and El Golfo. Downstream restoration efforts will focus on improving fisheries habitat – an important consideration for local fishermen.

The stronger the link between the restoration efforts and the local communities, the more successful the project will be. With each small success comes greater community interest and involvement. And greater community involvement ensures the Delta restoration will benefit both the region’s ecosystems and its people. Such a result would be the highest conservation triumph.

Contact Miriam Lara Flores at mlfara@lycos.com or Mark Briggs at mkbriggs@msn.com