to update or replace existing systems and build new ones to meet increasing demand.

**Inland waterways: D- (2001: D+, 2005: D-)** Of the 257 locks still in use on the nation's inland waterways, 30 were built in the 1800s and another 92 are more than 60 years old—well past their design life of 50 years. The cost to replace the present system of locks is estimated at more than $125 billion.

**Levees: D- (new category)** More than 85 percent of the nation’s estimated 100,000 miles of levees are locally owned and maintained. The reliability of many of these levees is unknown. Many are more than 50 years old, built to protect crops from flooding. With increased development behind them, the risk to public health and safety from levee failure has correspondingly increased. The cost of repairing and rehabilitating the levees is estimated to be more than $100 billion.

ASCE proposed five key actions needed to address the situation:

- increase federal leadership in infrastructure;
- promote sustainability and resilience in infrastructure to protect the natural environment and enable it to withstand natural and manmade hazards;
- develop national and regional infrastructure plans that complement our broad national goals and focus on system-wide users;
- address lifecycle costs and ongoing maintenance to meet the needs of current and future users;
- increase and improve infrastructure investment from all stakeholders.

The 2009 Report Card was developed by 28 civil engineers collectively representing each infrastructure category and a broad spectrum of civil engineering disciplines. Each category was evaluated for capacity, condition, funding, future need, operation and maintenance, public safety, and resilience.


---

**Water and Land for Renewable Energy in the Southwest**

**Focus on Concentrating Solar Power**

A workshop hosted by Southwest Hydrology

October 22–23, 2009

Tucson, Arizona

**TOPICS:**

- Issues and opportunities for renewable energy in the Southwest
- Incentives and barriers to renewable development
- Federal, state, and utility roles
- Land and water requirements
- The permitting process
- Costs and benefits of various technologies

Renewable energy is coming to the Southwest. How will it impact our natural resources?

www.swhydro.arizona.edu/renewable
The Surprising Value of Saltcedar

Saltcedar (Tamarix), an introduced shrub from Eurasia, has been part of the western landscape for a century and a half. Originally used as a hedgerow and to control erosion, it has spread to become the third most common riparian plant in the western United States, after cottonwood and willows. In the 1970s, ecologists and river managers became increasingly concerned that saltcedar was a detrimental plant—a prolific water user that outcompeted and displaced native trees and provided poor habitat for riparian animals including songbirds (Zavaleta, 2000).

Consequently, considerable effort has been spent trying to eradicate saltcedar throughout the Southwest, using aerially applied herbicides, mechanical removal, and a biocontrol agent, the beetle Diorhabda elongata (see sidebar). In 2006, the U.S. Congress passed an $80 million bill (HR 2720) for research projects aimed at controlling invasive saltcedar on western rivers. Although easy to kill, saltcedar is difficult to eradicate for the long-term. However, studies over the past 20 years have not supported those earlier concerns (Stromberg and others, 2009). New methods of measuring plant water use over wide areas, based on moisture-flux towers and remote sensing, show that dense stands of saltcedar use 2.3 to 4.6 feet of water per year, with a mean value of 3.3 feet per year, about 50 percent of potential evapotranspiration (ET) (Nagler and others, 2008), whereas previous estimates by indirect methods were as high as 10 to 12 feet of water per year. Saltcedar water use is well within the range of native species. In one study, wide-area ET rates were compared on three river systems that differed in amount of saltcedar: the Upper San Pedro, where saltcedar is less than five percent of vegetation; the Middle Rio Grande, with about 30 to 50 percent saltcedar; and the Lower Colorado River, where saltcedar is more than 80 percent of vegetation. Despite the differences, all three had modest rates of ET, ranging from 2.6 to 3.0 feet per year. It now appears that little water can be salvaged by clearing saltcedar.

Recent ecological studies have shown that saltcedar does not compete directly with native trees. Instead, it tends to be a stress-tolerant species that replaces the natives when riverbanks and aquifers become too saline or dry to support them. Many western rivers are flow-regulated, with little or no overbank flooding to wash salts from the soil and replenish aquifers. As non-stress-tolerant native trees disappear from these rivers, saltcedar as well as stress-tolerant native shrubs tend to move in. Under a more natural flow regime, native trees and saltcedar either form mixed stands, or the native trees remain dominant (Stromberg and others, 2009). Furthermore, the supposition that saltcedar provides poor wildlife habitat has undergone revision. Recent reviews (Stromberg and others, 2009) document widespread use of saltcedar by birds. Van Riper and others (2008) found that neotropical songbirds prefer a mix of plant species with cover of 70 to 80 percent saltcedar and 20 to 30 percent Tamarisk monoculture growing along the Virgin River in southern Nevada.

Saltcedar, Beetles, and the Southwestern Willow Flycatcher

At a Colorado River symposium in November 2008, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service presented a handout titled “Not Wanted in Arizona—Tamarisk Leaf Beetles.” The handout noted that although federal environmental compliance for tamarisk-leaf-beetle releases in western states did not permit releases into Arizona or within 200 miles of occupied southwestern willow flycatcher nesting habitat containing tamarisk, releases by local agencies in southern Utah have allowed the beetles to move into Arizona. In addition, beetles that were not expected to persist at more southerly latitudes seem to be doing so without a problem. According to the handout, defoliation by the beetles will degrade the available habitat for the birds, and will occur during the height of nesting season, limiting reproduction.

In December the Center for Biological Diversity filed a notice of intent to sue the U.S. Department of Agriculture for failing to reinitiate consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service about how to safeguard the southwestern willow flycatcher from the effects of the beetles, given the new information. Nevertheless, the organization supports the general idea of tamarisk eradication.

native trees, while other studies show that the combination of as few as 10 to 15 percent native trees and proximity to standing water provides good bird habitat. On the other hand, Lovich and de Gouvenain (1998) noted that benefits to selected bird species do not necessarily extend to other animals such as reptiles, small rodents, and game animals. Although saltcedar monocultures may provide poor habitat for these species, habitat value tends to improve with the percentage of native trees.

The new challenge for resource managers is to reconcile the changing scientific perception of saltcedar with current aggressive control measures aimed at, and public support for, saltcedar removal. Without replacement vegetation, removal could result in habitat deterioration, but revegetating large areas is expensive, and native trees will no longer grow on many regulated river stretches. Biocontrol agents such as Diorhabda elongata are themselves difficult to control, and could do more harm than good on western rivers if saltcedar is definitively found to play a positive role in riparian ecosystems.

Contact Edward P. Glenn at eglenn@ag.arizona.edu.
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